

GROUP ACTIONS ON FINITE ACYCLIC SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES

BY

YOAV SEGEV*

*Department of Mathematics
Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, Israel*

*This paper is dedicated to Prof. John G. Thompson
on the occasion of receiving the Wolf Prize, 1992*

ABSTRACT

In this paper we develop some homological techniques to obtain fixed points for groups acting on finite \mathbb{Z} -acyclic complexes. In particular we show that if a group G acts on a finite 2-dimensional acyclic simplicial complex D , then the fixed point set of G on D is either empty or acyclic. We supply some machinery for determining which of the two cases occurs. The Feit-Thompson Odd Order Theorem is used in obtaining this result.

0. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the action of a finite group G on a (abstract) finite simplicial complex D . In [8], Oliver showed that the assumption that D is \mathbb{Z} -acyclic does not restrict the homology $H_*(D^G; \mathbb{Z})$, where D^G is the fixed point subcomplex of D , except when G has a very specialized structure (cf. [8]). One motivation to our paper is the question of whether assuming in addition that D has low dimension does restrict $H_*(D^G; \mathbb{Z})$, in particular, what happens when D is two-dimensional? Recall that if D is a tree (i.e. one-dimensional acyclic complex) then D^G is a (nonempty) tree.

Another motivation to our paper is the question of whether one can define a broad enough class \mathcal{D} of finite acyclic simplicial complexes having the property

* This work was partially supported by BSF 88-00164.

Received July 15, 1992

that $D^{\langle g \rangle} \in \mathcal{D}$, for all $g \in G$, whenever $D \in \mathcal{D}$ and G is a group acting on D . Note that Quillen's conjecture in [7] asserts that if D is the order complex of the poset of nontrivial p -subgroups of a finite G , denoted by $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$, p a prime, then D^G is contractible, provided D is contractible. One can prove (see [2]) that Quillen's conjecture follows from the implication $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$ is acyclic $\rightarrow \mathcal{S}_p(G) \in \mathcal{D}$.

By taking the first barycentric subdivision of D , there is no loss of generality for our purposes to assume that G acts on D in such a way that if an element $g \in G$ fixes a simplex of D , it fixes all its vertices. In that event we call (D, G) an **admissible pair** (see the precise definitions in section 1 below). Our chain complexes have *coefficients in \mathbb{Z}* . We prove:

THEOREM 1: *Assume (D, G) is an admissible pair with D finite. If D is two-dimensional and acyclic, then*

- (1) D^G is either empty or acyclic.
- (2) If G is solvable, D^G is acyclic.

At the end of section 3 we give an example (see example 1) which was communicated to us by R. Oliver, of a finite 2-dimensional acyclic complex such that A_5 acts on it with no fixed points. We believe our example is the 2-skeleton of the so called 'Spherical dodecahedron space' (see, e.g. [9], p. 225) regarded as a CW-complex. Hence our Theorem 1 is at its best general form. We note that the Spherical Dodecahedron Space is a Poincaré space (see [9], p. 225 for a definition). It is possible that other examples of fixed point free actions of finite groups on finite two-dimensional acyclic complexes are associated to Poincaré spaces. However we believe that for most groups G acting on a finite two-dimensional acyclic complex D , D^G is acyclic. Indeed Theorem (3.2) and Lemma (3.5) are useful in showing this. Lemma (3.6) illustrates how to use Theorem (3.2) and Lemma (3.5) to show that if $G = A_n$, $n \geq 6$ acts on a 2-dimensional finite acyclic complex it fixes an acyclic subcomplex.

The proof of Theorem 1 requires various results on group actions on simplicial complexes proved in section 2. Theorem (2.3) generalizes a well known result on acyclic covers; e.g. [4], p. 92 or [3] Lemma (4.4) and the references therein. Theorem (2.7) deals with the top homologies of D^G , when (D, G) is an admissible pair and Theorem (2.4) gives a connection between $H_*(D^G)$, $H_*(D)$ and the order complex of the poset of all proper nontrivial subgroups of G , when (D, G) is an acyclic pair (definition in section 1).

We mention that there is still work to be done in determining precisely which groups G can act fixed point freely on finite two-dimensional acyclic complexes. Notice that Lemma (2.1) together with Theorem (3.4) reduce the question to the case when G is simple. Furthermore, we know of no example of a fixed point free action on finite 2-dimensional *contractible* complexes—our example is *not* contractible.

We mention that the proof of Theorem 1 does not require the Classification Theorem of finite simple groups but only the Feit–Thompson Odd Order Theorem.

1. Notation and preliminaries

We begin by establishing our basic notation and definitions. *Throughout D is a finite complex and G is a finite group.* Given a group G , $H < G$ means that H is a proper subgroup of G , while $H \leq G$ means that H is a subgroup of G (not necessarily proper). The same notation holds for sets, that is $A \subset B$ means that A is proper in B and $A \subseteq B$ means that A is a subset of B (not necessarily proper). Our simplicial complexes are abstract simplicial complexes as (for example) in [6], p. 15. All complexes in this paper are simplicial complexes. All homology groups in this paper are homology groups with *coefficients in \mathbb{Z}* . A simplicial map $\varphi : D \rightarrow L$ from a complex D to a complex L is a map of vertices such that $\{\varphi(v_0), \dots, \varphi(v_k)\}$ is a simplex of L , for every k -simplex $\{v_0, \dots, v_k\}$ of D . For a vertex v of D , write φv for its image under φ and for a simplex $\sigma = \{v_0, \dots, v_k\}$ of D , write $\varphi\sigma$ for the simplex $\{\varphi v_0, \dots, \varphi v_k\}$. We denote by $\varphi_{\#} : \mathcal{C}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(L)$ the chain map induced on the simplicial chain complexes. We denote by $\partial : \mathcal{C}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(D)$ the boundary map. φ is a simplicial isomorphism if φ is bijective on the vertices and $\varphi^{-1} : L \rightarrow D$ is a simplicial map. Write $\text{Aut}(D)$ for the group of all simplicial automorphisms of D .

Given a poset P , the **order complex of P** is the simplicial complex whose simplices are finite chains. This complex will also be denoted here by P . We denote by P^* , the dual poset. Given a complex D we always view the first barycentric subdivision of D , denoted by $\text{sd}(D)$, as a poset with the simplices of D as vertices and inclusion the order relation. Given two posets P and Q recall that the **join**, $P \vee Q$, of P and Q is the poset whose vertex set is the disjoint union of P and Q and whose order relation on P (resp. Q) is the same as in P (resp. Q) and any member of Q is larger than any member of P .

Given a group G write $\mathcal{S}(G)$ for the poset (and order complex) of all proper nontrivial subgroups of G .

Definition: Let \mathcal{F} be a collection of nonempty sets, the **nerve** of \mathcal{F} , $N(\mathcal{F})$, is the simplicial complex whose vertex set is \mathcal{F} and whose simplices are those subsets $\sigma \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ such that $\emptyset \neq F_\sigma = \bigcap_{F \in \sigma} F$. Let A be a set such that $A \subset F$, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Define $N(\mathcal{F}, A)$ to be the subcomplex of $N(\mathcal{F})$ whose vertex set is \mathcal{F} and whose simplices are those simplices $\sigma \in N(\mathcal{F})$ such that $\bigcap_{F \in \sigma} F$ properly contains A . Note that $N(\mathcal{F}) = N(\mathcal{F}, \emptyset)$. ■

We record that

(1.1): Let \mathcal{F} be a collection of sets. Assume $\psi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a map such that for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $F \subseteq \psi(F)$. Let A be a set such that $A \subset F$, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Then

- (1) $\psi : N(\mathcal{F}, A) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{F}, A)$ is a simplicial map.
- (2) $\psi_* : H_*(N(\mathcal{F}, A)) \rightarrow H_*(N(\mathcal{F}, A))$ is the identity homomorphism.

Proof: (1) is obvious. For (2) let $i : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be the identity map. Then for each simplex $\{F_0, \dots, F_k\}$ of $N(\mathcal{F}, A)$, $\{F_0, \dots, F_k, \psi(F_0), \dots, \psi(F_k)\}$ is a simplex of $N(\mathcal{F}, A)$, so by definition, ψ and i are contiguous. By [6], p. 67, $\psi_* = i_*$ so (2) follows. ■

For completeness we recall the following definitions and result due to A. Björner [4]. Let P be a poset. A subset R of P is **initial** if for every $p \in P$ there exists $r \in R$, with $r \leq p$. R is **join coherent** if whenever a subset T of R has an upper bound in P , it has a join in P . Given an initial subset R of P define the complex $\Phi(P, R)$ on the vertex set R , by taking as simplices those finite nonempty subsets of R which have an upper bound.

(1.2) (A. Björner [4], p. 93): Let R be a join coherent initial subset of a poset P . Then the order complex of P and $\Phi(P, R)$ have the same homotopy type.

We thus define $\mathcal{S}_1(G) = \Phi(\mathcal{S}(G), \mathcal{S}(G))$ and we observe that

(1.3): $\mathcal{S}(G)$ and $\mathcal{S}_1(G)$ have the same homotopy type.

Proof: This is immediate from (1.2), since evidently $R = \mathcal{S}(G)$ is an initial set and if $\{H_0, \dots, H_k\}$ have an upper bound, then $\langle H_0, \dots, H_k \rangle$ is its join. ■

We record the following two results

(1.4) (D. Quillen [7], p. 102): Let $f, g : P \rightarrow Q$ be maps of posets such that $f(x) \leq g(x)$, for all $x \in P$, then $|f|$ and $|g|$ are homotopic.

(1.5): Let $\phi : P \rightarrow Q$ be a map of posets and assume that for every $p \in Q$, $\phi^{-1}((Q \geq p)) = \{p \in P : \phi(p) \geq p\}$ is acyclic. Then P and Q have the same homology.

Proof: Since the proof is essentially the one given in [3], we give an outline of the proof. Define three acyclic carriers, as follows. $\Phi_{Q,P}$ which assigns to each simplex of Q an acyclic subcomplex of P , by $\Phi_{P,Q}(s) = \phi^{-1}((Q \geq \min(s)))$, where $\min(s)$ is the minimal element of s . Φ_P which assigns to each simplex t of P an acyclic subcomplex of P by $\Phi_P(t) = \Phi_{Q,P}(\phi(t))$ and Φ_Q which assigns to each simplex s of Q the subcomplex $(Q \geq \min(s))$. Set $f = \phi_{\#} : \mathcal{C}(P) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Q)$ and let $g : \mathcal{C}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(P)$ be a chain map carried by $\Phi_{Q,P}$. Let i and j be the identity maps of P and Q respectively. The reader can verify that both $i_{\#}$ and $g \circ f$ are carried by Φ_P and both $j_{\#}$ and $f \circ g$ are carried by Φ_Q . So by the Acyclic Carrier Theorem ([6], p. 74), $\phi_{\star} : H_{\star}(P) \rightarrow H_{\star}(Q)$ is an isomorphism.

■

We will also need the following fact

(1.6): Assume D, L are finite-dimensional complexes such that $\tilde{H}_i(D) = \tilde{H}_j(L) = 0$ for all $i \leq n$ and $j \leq m, n, m \geq -1$. Then $\tilde{H}_k(D \vee L) = 0$, for all $k \leq n+m+2$ and $\tilde{H}_{n+m+3}(D \vee L) = \tilde{H}_{n+1}(D) \otimes \tilde{H}_{m+1}(L)$.

Proof: See e.g. [1]. ■

Finally recall that a **cover** of a complex D is a collection of subcomplexes \mathcal{F} of D such that each simplex of D is a simplex of some member $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

2. Some aspects of admissible action

Definition: A pair (D, G) is an **admissible pair** if D is a complex, $G \leq \text{Aut}(D)$ and for every simplex $\sigma = \{v_0, \dots, v_k\}$ of D , if $g\sigma = \sigma$, then $gv_i = v_i$, for all $i = 0, \dots, k$. ■

Given an admissible pair (D, G) and $H \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ write D^H for the full subcomplex of D with vertex set the fixed point set of H on D . Denote $\text{Fix}(D, G) = \text{Fix}(D)$ the subcomplex of D , whose vertex set is the set $\bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{S}(G)} D^H$ and whose

simplices are subsets σ such that σ is a simplex of D and $\sigma \subseteq D^H$, for some $H \in \mathcal{S}(G)$.

(2.1): Let $H \triangleleft G$. Assume \mathcal{D} is a family of finite acyclic complexes such that for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$, if (D, X) is an admissible pair, then $D^X \in \mathcal{D}$, for $X = H$ and for $X = G/H$. Then, for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$, if (D, G) is an admissible pair, then $D^G \in \mathcal{D}$.

Proof: This follows immediately from the fact that $D^G = (D^H)^{G/H}$. ■

Definition: An admissible pair (D, G) is **proper** if $D^G \subset D^H$, for all $H \in \mathcal{S}(G)$. (D, G) is **acyclic** if D^H is acyclic, for all $H \in \mathcal{S}(G)$. ■

(2.2): Let (D, G) be an admissible pair. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq S_1(G)$ be a nonempty subset regarded as a **full** subcomplex and assume that

- (1) For every simplex s of \mathcal{M} , $D^G \subset D^{<s>}$.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{D^H : H \in \mathcal{M}\}$ and $D_0 = D^G$. Then \mathcal{M} and $N = N(\mathcal{F}, D_0)$ have the same homotopy type.

Proof: Define $\phi : \text{sd}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{sd}(N)$ and $\psi : \text{sd}(N) \rightarrow \text{sd}(\mathcal{M})$ as follows. For a simplex s of \mathcal{M} , $\phi(s) = \{D^H : H \in s\}$ and for a simplex σ of N , $\psi(\sigma) = \{H \in \mathcal{M} : \bigcap_{F \in \sigma} F \subseteq D^H\}$. By hypothesis (1), ϕ and ψ are well defined. Now if $t \subseteq s$ are simplices of $\text{sd}(\mathcal{M})$, then $\phi(t) \subseteq \phi(s)$ and if $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ are simplices of $\text{sd}(N)$, then $\psi(\tau) \subseteq \psi(\sigma)$. Further $s \subseteq \psi \circ \phi(s)$ and $\sigma \subseteq \phi \circ \psi(\sigma)$. Hence the lemma follows from (1.4). ■

Definition: Let D_0 be a subcomplex of a complex D . A **mod D_0 acyclic cover** of D is a cover \mathcal{F} of D such that $D_0 \subset F$, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$, each member of \mathcal{F} is acyclic and for any nonempty subset σ of \mathcal{F} , $F_\sigma = \bigcap_{F \in \sigma} F$ is acyclic, or $F_\sigma = D_0$. ■

(2.3) **THEOREM:** Let D_0 be a subcomplex of the complex D . Let \mathcal{F} be a **mod D_0 acyclic cover** of D . Set $N = N(\mathcal{F}, D_0)$. Then D has the same homology as the join $N \vee D_0$.

Proof: Let $P = \text{sd}(D_0)$, $Q = \text{sd}(N)$, $R = \text{sd}(D)$ and $J = Q \vee P^*$. Define a map $f : R^* \rightarrow J$, as follows:

- (1) If σ is a simplex of D_0 , $f(\sigma) = \sigma$.
- (2) Otherwise, $f(\sigma) = \{F \in N : \sigma \text{ is a simplex of } F\}$.

We first show that f is order preserving. Let σ, τ be two simplices of D with $\sigma \geq_{R^*} \tau$, that is $\sigma \subseteq \tau$. Assume τ is a simplex of D_0 , then $f(\sigma) = \sigma \subseteq \tau = f(\tau)$.

So $f(\sigma) \geq_J f(\tau)$. Assume σ is not a simplex of D_0 , then clearly $f(\tau) \subseteq f(\sigma)$ and again $f(\sigma) \geq_J f(\tau)$. Finally if σ is a simplex of D_0 and τ is not, evidently $f(\sigma) >_J f(\tau)$.

We claim that for all $j \in J$, $D_j = f^{-1}(J(\geq j))$ is acyclic so (1.5) completes the proof. Now if j is a simplex of D_0 , then D_j is just the set of all faces of j . If j is a simplex of N , then a simplex σ of D is in D_j iff $f(\sigma) \geq_J j$, then either σ is a simplex of D_0 , or σ is not a simplex of D_0 and σ is a simplex of F , for all $F \in j$. Thus D_j is the set of all simplices of D contained in $\bigcap_{F \in j} F$, so by hypothesis it is acyclic. ■

As a corollary we have the following result

(2.4) THEOREM: Let (D, G) be a proper acyclic pair. Assume $S(G) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\text{Fix}(D)$ has the same homology as the join $S(G) \vee D^G$.

Proof: Choose $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{S}_1(G)$ in (2.2). Then as (D, G) is proper, \mathcal{M} satisfies hypothesis (2.2.1) so by (2.2), $\mathcal{S}_1(G)$ has the same homology as the complex $N(\mathcal{F}, D^G)$. Now Theorem (2.3) completes the proof. ■

Let (D, G) be an admissible pair.

Notation: From now on we fix the letter n to denote the dimension of D . ■

Given a k -chain $c \in C_k(D)$, the **support** of c is the support of c written in terms of the canonical basis, i.e., the k -oriented simplices, for some fixed orientation.

Assume $H_n(D) = H_{n-1}(D) = 0$. As $\partial : C_n(D) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(D)$ has trivial kernel, for every cycle $z \in Z_{n-1}(D)$, there exists a unique chain $c \in C_n(D)$ such that $z = \partial(c)$. We set $c = \partial^{-1}(z)$ and we define

Definition: For a cycle $z \in Z_{n-1}(D)$, the **bounding number** of z is $\sum_{i=1}^m |n_i|$, where $\partial^{-1}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \sigma_i$. ■

We also define

Definition: The **bounding support** of a cycle $z \in Z_{n-1}(D)$ is the support of the n -chain $\partial^{-1}(z)$. ■

(2.5): Let (D, G) be an admissible pair. Assume that $n \geq 2$ and

- (1) $H_n(D) = H_{n-1}(D) = 0$.

(2) For any cycle $z \in Z_{n-1}(D^G)$ there exists an (oriented) n -simplex σ in the bounding support of z such that σ is a simplex of D^G . Then $H_n(D^G) = H_{n-1}(D^G) = 0$.

Proof: Clearly $H_n(D^G) = 0$. Assume $H_{n-1}(D^G) \neq 0$. Let $z \in Z_{n-1}(D^G)$ be a cycle that doesn't bound in $\mathcal{C}(D^G)$. Choose z to have minimal bounding number. Let $c = \partial^{-1}(z)$. By hypothesis there exists an n -simplex σ of D^G such that σ is in the support of c . We may assume without loss that the coefficient of σ in c is positive. Evidently $z - \partial(\sigma)$ is an $(n - 1)$ -cycle in $\mathcal{C}(D^G)$ and $\partial(c - \sigma) = z - \partial(\sigma)$. Hence the bounding number of $z - \partial(\sigma)$ is smaller than that of z . By the choice of z , $c - \sigma$ is in $C_n(D^G)$, hence c is, a contradiction.

We will see in a minute that if (D, G) is an admissible pair and $H_n(D) = H_{n-1}(D) = 0$, then hypothesis (2) of (2.5) is satisfied. Before that we need

(2.6): Let (D, G) be an admissible pair with G cyclic of prime order p . Assume $n \geq 2$ and $H_n(D) = H_{n-1}(D) = 0$. Let $z \in Z_{n-1}(D^G)$ be a cycle such that there exists no n -simplex σ in the bounding support of z such that σ is a simplex of D^G . Then $z = pz_1$, for some cycle $z_1 \in Z_{n-1}(D)$.

Proof: Set $G = \langle g \rangle$. Let $[v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}]$ be in the support of z . Let $c = \partial^{-1}(z)$. Then the simplices in the support of c having $[v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}]$ as a face sum up in c in the following way: $n_1([v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}, w_1] + [v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}, gw_1] + \dots + [v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}, g^{p-1}w_1]) + n_2([v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}, w_2] + \dots + [v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}, g^{p-1}w_2]) + \dots + n_k([v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}, w_k] + \dots + [v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}, g^{p-1}w_k])$. Hence the coefficient in z of $[v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}]$ is $(-1)^n p \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$ as asserted. ■

(2.7) THEOREM: Assume (D, G) is an admissible pair and $\tilde{H}_n(D) = \tilde{H}_{n-1}(D) = 0$. Then $\tilde{H}_n(D^G) = \tilde{H}_{n-1}(D^G) = 0$.

Proof: First note that we may assume $n \geq 2$, since if $n = 0, 1$, D is a tree in which case, as was mentioned above, D^G is a tree.

Assume the theorem holds when G is cyclic of prime order. Then using induction, we see that the theorem holds for any cyclic group G . Let $z \in Z_{n-1}(D^G)$. Then $\partial^{-1}(z) \in C_n(D^{\langle g \rangle})$, for all $g \in G$. Hence $\partial^{-1}(z) \in C_n(D^G)$ as asserted. So $H_{n-1}(D^G) = 0$. Obviously $H_n(D^G) = 0$.

Hence we may assume that G is cyclic of prime order. We show that (D, G) satisfies the hypotheses of (2.5). Assume false and let $z \in Z_{n-1}(D^G)$ such that no simplex in the bounding support of z lies in D^G . Pick such z with minimal

bounding number. By (2.6), $z = pz_1$, for some $z_1 \in Z_{n-1}(D^G)$. Notice that the bounding number of z is p times the bounding number of z_1 . By the choice of z , there exists a simplex in the bounding support of z_1 which is a simplex of D^G . But the bounding support of z equals the bounding support of z_1 , a contradiction.

3. Two dimensional acyclic complexes

In this section D is two-dimensional acyclic complex. Our ongoing hypothesis is that D is finite and G is finite.

(3.1) THEOREM: Assume (D, G) is an admissible pair. Then

- (1) $H_1(D^G) = H_2(D^G) = 0$.
- (2) If G is solvable, D^G is acyclic.

Proof: (1) follows immediately from Theorem (2.7). For (2), using an obvious induction we may assume G is cyclic of prime order p . As is well known (see e.g. [5], chapter III), D^G is \mathbb{Z}_p acyclic and in particular, $\tilde{H}_0(D^G) = 0$. Hence (2) follows from (1). ■

HYPOTHESIS A: $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_1(G)$ is a subset such that:

- (1) $\langle \mathcal{Z} \rangle = G$.
- (2) For any proper nonempty $\mathcal{Z}_1 \subset \mathcal{Z}$, $\langle \mathcal{Z}_1 \rangle < G$.

(3.2) THEOREM: Assume that (D, G) is an admissible pair and that there exists a subset $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_1(G)$ such that

- (1) \mathcal{Z} satisfies Hypothesis A.
- (2) For any proper nonempty $\mathcal{Z}_1 \subset \mathcal{Z}$, $D^{\langle \mathcal{Z}_1 \rangle}$ is acyclic.

Then if $|\mathcal{Z}| > 2$, D^G is either empty or acyclic and if $|\mathcal{Z}| > 3$, D^G is acyclic.

Proof: Let \mathcal{Z} denote also the full subcomplex of $\mathcal{S}_1(G)$, with vertex set \mathcal{Z} . Assume that D^G is not acyclic. Set $|\mathcal{Z}| = n + 2$. Then the dimension of \mathcal{Z} is n and $\tilde{H}_n(\mathcal{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, while $\tilde{H}_k(\mathcal{Z}) = 0$, for $k \neq n$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{D^H : H \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ and $L = \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{Z}} D^H$. Notice that as $D^G \subset D^{\langle \sigma \rangle}$ for each simplex σ of \mathcal{Z} , (2.2) says that $N(\mathcal{F}, D^G) = N$ has the same homotopy type as \mathcal{Z} . Since \mathcal{F} is a cover of L , Theorem (2.3) says that L has the same homology as the join $N \vee D^G$ that is

(*) L has the same homology as $\mathcal{Z} \vee D^G$.

If $D^G \neq \emptyset$, then by (3.1), $\tilde{H}_0(D^G) \neq 0$ and by (1.6),

(**) $H_{n+1}(L) \neq 0$.

Notice now that L is of dimension ≥ 2 and L is a subcomplex of an acyclic complex of dimension two. Hence

(***) $H_k(L) = 0$, for $k > 1$.

As $n \geq 1$, (**) and (***) contradict each other.

Assume $D^G = \emptyset$ and $n \geq 2$. By (*), L has the same homology as \mathcal{Z} so as $H_n(\mathcal{Z}) \neq 0$, (***) supplies a contradiction. ■

The next lemma shows that every finite (nonabelian) simple group G satisfies Hypothesis A, for some \mathcal{Z} , with $|\mathcal{Z}| > 2$. We use the Feit–Thompson Odd Order Theorem.

(3.3): *Assume G is a finite nonabelian simple group. Then there exists a subset $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_1(G)$ such that $|\mathcal{Z}| > 2$ and \mathcal{Z} satisfies Hypothesis A.*

Proof: Let $\text{Inv}(G)$ be the set of involutions in G . As G is simple $G = \langle \text{Inv}G \rangle$. Hence there exists a subset $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \text{Inv}(G)$ such that $G = \langle \mathcal{Z} \rangle$ and \mathcal{Z} satisfies Hypothesis A2. Since G is simple, $|\mathcal{Z}| > 2$, and we are done. ■

(3.4) THEOREM: *Assume (D, G) is an admissible pair such that G is finite. Then D^G is either empty or acyclic.*

Proof: Let G be a minimal counter example. By minimality of G , D^H is acyclic, for all $H \in \mathcal{S}_1(G)$. Hence, by minimality of G , G is simple. By (3.3), G satisfies the hypotheses of (3.2) and hence by (3.2), D^G is either empty or acyclic, a contradiction. ■

The following example shows that case $D^G = \emptyset$ of Theorem (3.4) can occur.

Example 1: Let D be the two-dimensional simplicial complex on the vertex set $V = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\} \cup \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \cup \{(12), (13), (14), (15), (23), (24), (25), (34), (35), (45)\}$ so that $|V| = 21$. The (oriented) 2-simplices of D are as in Table I.

■

Table I

a	b	c	d	e	f
[a, 1, (15)]	[b, 1, (15)]	[c, 1, (14)]	[d, 1, (12)]	[e, 1, (15)]	[f, 1, (12)]
[a, 1, (12)]	[b, 1, (13)]	[c, 1, (13)]	[d, 1, (13)]	[e, 1, (14)]	[f, 1, (14)]
[a, 2, (12)]	[b, 2, (24)]	[c, 2, (23)]	[d, 2, (24)]	[e, 2, (24)]	[f, 2, (12)]
[a, 2, (23)]	[b, 2, (25)]	[c, 2, (25)]	[d, 2, (12)]	[e, 2, (23)]	[f, 2, (25)]
[a, 3, (23)]	[b, 3, (13)]	[c, 3, (13)]	[d, 3, (13)]	[e, 3, (23)]	[f, 3, (34)]
[a, 3, (34)]	[b, 3, (34)]	[c, 3, (23)]	[d, 3, (35)]	[e, 3, (35)]	[f, 3, (35)]
[a, 4, (34)]	[b, 4, (34)]	[c, 4, (45)]	[d, 4, (45)]	[e, 4, (14)]	[f, 4, (14)]
[a, 4, (45)]	[b, 4, (24)]	[c, 4, (14)]	[d, 4, (24)]	[e, 4, (24)]	[f, 4, (34)]
[a, 5, (45)]	[b, 5, (25)]	[c, 5, (25)]	[d, 5, (35)]	[e, 5, (35)]	[f, 5, (25)]
[a, 5, (15)]	[b, 5, (15)]	[c, 5, (45)]	[d, 5, (45)]	[e, 5, (15)]	[f, 5, (35)]

Further, every k -simplex is a face of a 2-simplex, for $k = 0, 1$. This complex is obtained as follows: Let $G = A_5$ act on $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Let $X = G(5)$, the stabilizer in G of 5, $Y = G(\{4, 5\})$, the global stabilizer in G of $\{4, 5\}$ and $Z = N_G(\langle (12345) \rangle)$, the normalizer in G of $\langle (12345) \rangle$. so $X \simeq A_4$, $Y \simeq S_3$ and $Z \simeq D_{10}$. We take as vertices all right cosets of H in G , where $H \in \{X, Y, Z\}$ and as (oriented) 2-simplices $\{[Zg, Xg, Yg] : g \in G\}$. Every k -simplices is a face of a 2-simplex, for $k = 0, 1$. Clearly G acts simplicially on this complex via right multiplication.

We show this complex is acyclic. First note it has 21 vertices, 80 1-simplices and 60 2-simplices, so its Euler characteristic $\chi(D) = 1$. Next it is easily seen this complex is connected. Finally we sketch a proof that $H_1(D) = 0$. Denote by $\sigma_{t,i}$, where $t \in \{a, b, \dots, f\}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, 10\}$, the simplex in column t and row i of Table I.

For $t \in \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$ set

$$c_t = \sum_{i=1}^{10} (-1)^{i+1} \sigma_{t,i}.$$

First we note that to show that every 1-cycle bounds it suffices to show that every cycle of the form:

$$(*) \quad [v_0, v_1] + [v_1, v_2] + \dots + [v_{k-1}, v_0]$$

bounds, where $v_0v_1 \cdots v_{k-1}v_0$ is a closed path in the graph of D . Next observe that the distance from t to any vertex of D , in the graph of D is ≥ 2 , for all $t \in \{a, \dots, f\}$. Hence it suffices to show that 1-cycles of the form

$$(**) \quad [t, v_1] + [v_1, v_2] + [v_2, v_3] + [v_3, v_4] + [v_4, t]$$

bound, where $tv_1v_2v_3v_4t$ is a closed path in the graph of D . Next it is not difficult to see that to show that cycles of the form $(**)$ bound, it suffices to show that cycles of the form

$$(***) \quad [i, (ij)] + [(ij), j] + [j, t] + [t, i]$$

bound, where $t \in \{a, b, \dots, f\}$, $i < j$ are in $\{1, \dots, 5\}$ and t is not adjacent to (ij) in the graph of D . Next note that G acts transitively on cycles of the form $(***)$ so it suffices to show one of them bounds. We choose

$$x = [1, (12)] + [(12), 2] + [2, b] + [b, 1].$$

Set

$$y = [b, 1, (15)] - [b, 2, (25)] + [b, 5, (25)] - [b, 5, (15)] - c_c + c_d - c_e.$$

We leave it for the reader to verify that $\partial(y) = x$ and the example is complete.

(3.5): Assume (D, G) is an admissible pair. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq S_1(G)$ be a nonempty subset and consider the subcomplex of $S_1(G)$ (also denoted by \mathcal{M}) with vertex set \mathcal{M} and simplices $s \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ such that $D^{<s>} \neq \emptyset$. Assume $D^H \neq \emptyset$, for all $H \in \mathcal{M}$. Set $L = \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{M}} D^H$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{D^H : H \in \mathcal{M}\}$. Then

- (1) \mathcal{M} and the nerve of \mathcal{F} , $N(\mathcal{F})$, have the same homotopy type.
- (2) \mathcal{M} and L have the same homology.

Proof: The proof of (1) proceeds exactly as the proof of (2.2). For (2) note that \mathcal{F} is a cover of L and that for each subset $\{F_{i_1}, F_{i_2}, \dots, F_{i_k}\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, $\bigcap_{j=1}^k F_{i_j}$ is either empty or acyclic. Hence Theorem (2.3) completes the proof. ■

(3.6): Assume (D, G) is an admissible pair such that $G \simeq A_n$, $n \geq 6$. Then D^G is acyclic.

Proof: Assume first that the lemma holds for $n = 6$. We proceed by induction on n . Let $n > 6$. We use Theorem (3.2) and we choose $\mathcal{Z} = \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4\}$,

where $H_1 = \text{Alt}\{1, \dots, n - 3\}$, $H_2 = \langle (1, 2, n - 2) \rangle$, $H_3 = \langle (1, 2, n - 1) \rangle$ and $H_4 = \langle (1, 2, n) \rangle$. Then $H_{ijk} = \langle H_i, H_j, H_k \rangle \simeq A_t$, for some $t < n$ and H_{ijk} is contained in some subgroup of G isomorphic to A_{n-1} , so by induction hypothesis (2) of Theorem (3.2) is satisfied and the lemma follows.

It remains to prove the lemma for $n = 6$. Assume $D^G = \emptyset$. We first show that
 (*) If $H < G$, with $H \simeq A_5$, then $D^H = \emptyset$.

Assume $H = \text{Alt}\{1, \dots, 5\}$ and $D^H \neq \emptyset$. Then using Theorem (3.2), with $H_i = \langle (i, 5, 6) \rangle$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, we easily get a contradiction. Applying an outer automorphism we get (*).

Now we can use (3.5). Let $a = (12)(36)$ $b = (12)(45)$ $c = (12)(34)$ $d = (25)(36)$ $e = (26)(35)$. Then $[a, b] = [e, d] = 1$ and $ab = (36)(45)$ $de = (23)(56)$. Further, $|ac| = |ad| = |eb| = |ec| = |bc| = |cd| = |dab| = |bde| = 3$ so $\langle a, b, c \rangle \simeq \langle a, b, d \rangle \simeq \langle b, d, e \rangle \simeq \langle c, d, e \rangle \simeq S_4$ and $\langle a, c, d \rangle \simeq \langle b, c, e \rangle \simeq 3^2 : 2$. Moreover, $\langle a, e \rangle \simeq D_{10}$ and $\langle b, c, d \rangle \simeq A_6$. Let

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ \langle a \rangle, \langle b \rangle, \langle c \rangle, \langle d \rangle, \langle e \rangle \}$$

regarded as a subcomplex of $\mathcal{S}_1(G)$ as in (3.5). Then using (*) it is easily verified that $H_2(\mathcal{M}) \neq 0$, so by (3.5), $H_2(L) \neq 0$, where $L = \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{M}} D^H$. But L is a subcomplex of D , a contradiction. ■

(3.7): Assume G is isomorphic to an alternating group A_n , $n \geq 6$, or to a group of Lie-type and Lie-rank ≥ 2 , then G satisfies hypothesis A, for some $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_1(G)$, with $|\mathcal{Z}| \geq 4$.

Proof: If $G \simeq A_n$, $n \geq 6$, Pick $\mathcal{Z} = \{ \langle (123) \rangle, \langle (124) \rangle, \dots, \langle (12n) \rangle \}$. So assume G is isomorphic to a group of Lie-type and Lie-rank ≥ 2 . Let Σ be a root system for G and let $\Pi = \{ \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \}$ be the simple system. For $\alpha \in \Sigma$, let U_α denote the root group of α . Then $\mathcal{Z} = \{ U_\alpha : \pm \alpha \in \Pi \}$ has the required properties as for each $\alpha \in \pm \Pi$, $\mathcal{Z} - \{ U_\alpha \}$ is contained in a proper parabolic of G . ■

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The author is grateful to Professor M. Aschbacher for numerous remarks leading to clearer presentation of the results of the paper.

References

- [1] M. Aschbacher, *Simple connectivity of p -group complexes*, Israel J. Math. **82** (1993), 1–43.
- [2] M. Aschbacher and P. B. Kleidman, *On a Conjecture of Quillen and a Lemma of Robinson*, Arch. Math. **55** (1990), 209–217.
- [3] M. Aschbacher and Y. Segev, *Locally connected simplicial maps*, Israel J. Math. **77** (1992), 285–303.
- [4] A. Björner, *Homotopy type of posets and lattice complementation*, J. Comb. Theory A **30** (1981), 90–100.
- [5] G. E. Brendon, *Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [6] J. R. Munkres, *Elements of Algebraic Topology*, Addison-Wesley, Mass., 1984.
- [7] D. Quillen, *Homotopy properties of posets of nontrivial p -subgroups of a group*, Adv. in Math. **28** (1978), 101–108.
- [8] R. Oliver, *Fixed point sets of group actions on finite acyclic complexes*, Comment. Math. Helvetici **50** (1975), 155–177.
- [9] H. Seifert and W. Threlfall, *A Textbook of Topology*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.